resulé

App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 135-26

Previous References

(Mysore)

Serial Nos. 1-6

Preserved

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for January 1928

SUBJECT

Petition from Jahangir Khan & other Mahomadans of Thandalmikanhalli complaining that the site selected for their mosque has not been given to them for possession.

G.O. No 1085
Dated 10.1.28

To the Dy. Comr

Sanctioned subject to certain conditions

Muz 1]

1926-27

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 135-26

FILE HEADING.

Mosque at Mandalvankambilli

From Jabagir Khan & Other Muhammadans of Mandalvankambilli

No Petn Dated Received

Diary No. 293 Enclos. Spare Copies. Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complaint that the site allotted for the mosque has not been given by the Government

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(Vide GOMs 405 of Muz 22-7-22 & 26-7-22)

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 8 22

G.R.S. III—3]

M J 135—26

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D.293. Petition from Jahangir Khan and others inhabitants of Thandalcuppam Pally, Kolar Taluk, complaining that as stipulated in the G.O. under reference, a suitable site has not been given to them for the construction of a Masjid building at the place.

No 405-6 Dy 26.7.1922
Mur 392-7-22

V

✓ In G.O. No. 405-6 Dy 26-7-1922, the petrs were permitted to construct a new Masjid building in place of the old Ashurkhana on a site belonging to the Municipality in the Mohammedan Quarter to be acquired by giving in exchange for another site in the Grantan. They now complain that as stipulated in the

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—31 M 7 135-26

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Said order, suitable site originally decided upon has not been found to be made available to them.

3 A Dft. calling for a report of the action taken in the matter, and an extract of the notes are submitted for appl- for obtaining order

7/10/26.

4 Issue

SL 2. To. Dpty Comm
No 685 dt 14.10.26
forwarding the above
& Calls for action taken
in the matter
No 686 dt 14.10.26
Informing the
petr of the above.

15/11

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

1088

WD 01226—GPB—37,500— . -26

Revenue Secretariat

App. F. III.—2]

File No. 135 of 1926.

Serial No.

EWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
9. FEB. 1927
No 5 8

5 Reminder petition no 1088 from Jahangir Khan and other muslim residents praying for early orders for the grant of the site for the construction of the masjid, is placed on the file

6 The petition is addressed to the Dewan. Dewan's orders :- References.

7 The connected files are submitted. The M.C.'s report called for in the subject is awaited. A reminder has issued etc.

Submitted.
AV 5/2/27 5/2/27

& Reminder cond- will be reminded again. MV

Second member / Dewan 7/2 9 Mar 7.2.27 MV 8/2

7 FEB. 1927
No. 5338

3330

10 Mr. Has not a cond: been rec'd in this matter Please see and put up MV 9/2

3 From the M.C. No. C-534 of 26.11 & 6.2.27 states that the D.C. Kolar reports that after personal inspection, alternative sites were selected & that the Mussalmans who were asked to choose one of them are unwilling to take the site agreed to by the villagers & insist on having

NOTE Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

Revenue
Fiat

File No 135 of 1926

Serial No.

FW

The site objected to &c
11 Kah. no. 405-6/mus 392-17-22
by 26.7.22, the const^n of a mosque
on the site ~~opposite~~ which was
said to be in the Muhammedan graveyard
was sanct^d. under certain conditions
The Hindus then gave a undertaking
that they had no objn to this being
done & the Mussalmans also did
likewise to the effect that they had
not objected to the passing of Hindu
processions on the main street
before the masjid.

12 Now the Hindus have
raised objns to the const^n of
the mosque on the site originally
selected. The Dy com^r is said
to have personally inspected the
spot and selected two alternate
unobjectional sites which the
Mussalmans do not want, but
insist on the original site being
allowed to be built upon.

13 The Dy com^r has issued an
Ord^r to the Mussalmans that
they may choose ~~select~~ one of the two
sites since selected for their masjid
subject to ~~the~~ orders

Pb
10/2/27

14 Mr 27

Secy Member
Revenue).

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND [illegible] COUNCIL
11 FEB. 1927
5433

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

eral and Revenue Secretariat

Muz. File No. 135 of 1926

Serial No.

15 The Deputy Commissioner's report gives no information as to why he has found it necessary to suggest ~~two~~ other alternative sites. Both the Hindus and the Mussalmans agreed to the site selected in 1922 and it is not clear why the Hindus object to it now. The Muzrai Commissioner does not also suggest any solution of the case. This is a matter in which the Deputy Commissioner should bring about an amicable understanding between the two parties. Please address the Muzrai Commissioner and ask him to obtain a full report from the Deputy Commissioner as to why the Hindus object to the arrangement agreed to by them in 1922 and whether their objection is entitled to any consideration ~~and whether~~ the Deputy Commissioner may ~~not~~ also be requested to arrange for a settlement acceptable to both parties.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
12 FEB. 1927
No. 3667

Prmd
12/2/27

16 DR Sahni.
AV
15/2/27 16/2/27 17 issue
mo
16/2

4 To.
Muz Comm No 1566 dt 9.2.27
Calls for certain further particulars for inclusion in the matter.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 135 of 1926.

Serial No.

From The Muzrai Commissioner,
letter no C.534 Dt 29.11.27.

Reports that the Hindus and the Mahomedans of the village have come to an amicable Settlement Setting aside all their differences, and requests approval of Govt thereto.

The D C's letter Dt 29.10.27 goes fully into the facts of the case.

A Special Panchayat was appointed. The Hindus have agreed to the Construction of the Masjid on the Site Selected by the Panchayat, while the Mahomedans have no Objection to the Hindus processions with Baja Bajantri passing in front of the Mosque. The Muz. Comr suggests that to save future troubles, the D.C. may be asked to have a Stone tablet put up inscribing therein the year of Construction, and the condition re the passing of Hindu processions with music

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB —60,000—24 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 135 of 1926

Serial No.

1) The D.C reports that Mr. C Rama Rao Amildar of Kolar Taluk, deserves credit for having brought about an amicable Settlement of a question which has been pending for nearly 30 years causing frequent disharmony and discord between the 2 parties.

Submr. for orders.

AV 10-12-27

2) The Revenr. of the Dy. C Mr. Com. to sanction the Construction of the mosque in question may be approved, subject to the usual conditions of a tablet being put up and of Hindu processions being allowed to pass in front of the building without trouble.

RA 10/12/27

Second Member

21. Appd. 28.12.27

22 Br. dte 28/12

23 G.O. WD. Submr.

AV 3-1-28 24 June 28/1

ECO 27 No. 3489

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mur File No. 135 of 1926-27

Serial No.	
6.	To. Mur Court No 1085 & 10 / 28 Sanctions the M's recommendation subject to usual conditions

B
19/1/28

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB —60,000—21 5 27

DEWAN'S OFFICE AUG 1926

MUZRAI

Bangalore, Dated 26.8. 1926.

D. 293

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

27/8
27/8

By Order,

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

G. R. S. VI–G-32] B50—WD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Section Inam

File No. 135-26

Serial No. 2

No. 685

Inam Circle

Date of issue 16-10-26

To The Inamdar Concerned

Signed by

Sir,

Adverting to Govt. Pro. No. 405-6/Inam 392-17 dd 26th July 1922 conveying permission under certain conditions, for the construction of a Masjid in the village of Thandalnayanapally, Kolar Taluq, I am directed to forward herewith petition registered No. 293 with its enclosure from Jahangir Khan and other Muslim residents of the village complaining that ~~a site selected in the said village~~ a suitable site as applied for has not been made over

the site selected for the proposed Masjid

Available

to them ~~for the Custodian of the said Masjid building~~ and to request that you will be so good as to report what action has been taken in the matter.

(2) We favour of a very early reply is requested.

I have etc.

Dy/10

Dist. Secretary

G. R. S. VI–G-32]

B50—WD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Mes

File No. 135-26

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

No 686.

Date of issue 16-10-26

To

Signed by M. Krishnaiah Chetty

[illegible]

over



To

Rs. 0 RUPEES

The Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore.

MUZRAI
D 1088

Petition of Jhanger Khan and other Muslim residents of Thonndalnayanapally, Holur Hobli, Kolar Taluk.

****

Humbly Sheweth:

Witn reference to Government Order No.405-6 Muz. 392-17-22 dated 26th July 1922 according permission on certain conditions for the construction of a Muzjid in the village of Thandalnayanapally, Hoblur Hobli, Kolar taluk on a site owned by a Mohamedan resident called Sheik Murtaza, your humble memorialists beg to submit that although four years have elapsed the local authorities have not been good enough to grant to the above said Sheik Murtaza the site which he had been selected and marked in the Gautana by the then Amildar and the then Sub Division Officer in exchange of his site upon which the mujid is sanctioned to be constructed by the Government. The Amildar, Sub-Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner promissed to Sheik Murtaza to give the land in Gautana in exchange of his land about double the measurement of his own land and measured the same land in Gautana which is bounded on the East by Road, West by land, South by road leading to Kolar, North by the land of Krishnappa. This land was personally examined by the Deputy Commissioner and as there are no other suitable land, the above land was promissed by the then Deputy Commissioner. Since the above said site in Gautana belongs to Government and since at the time when the Mutchalika was executed it was agreed to by Government on one side and Hindus on the other to give the site in question to Sheik

Sheik Murtaza, there could not have been any difficulty in giving effect to the above said arrangement and to thus create facilities for the memorialists to construct the Musjid on his site as ordered by Government. But owing to the fact that the then local officers were transferred and the mischievous elements in the village were able to prevail upon the present officers, the latter adopted very unjustifiable and unsympathetic attitude in as much as they deliberately put off from day to day the execution of Government Order. Now to the utter disappointment of your Memorialists the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District has issued endorsement No.C.821 of 26-27 dated 7-8-26 (copy attached) to the effect that since objection is taken (by whom it is not mentioned) for the granting of thesite to Sheik Murtaza in the Coutana as previously agreed to and decided upon, the site cannot be granted to Sheik Murtaza and hence the Musjid should be constructed in some other locality quite different from the one sanctioned for the purpose. It is a matter of extreme regret that an officer of the status of the Deputy Commissioner who being the palladium of the rights and liberties of all sections of people should have shown such a bias towards the Memorialists and without any regard and respect to the decisions made by his predecessor and to the final orders of Government on his behalf should now choose to say that Gautana site cannot be given to Sheik Murtaza and that on that ground Muzid should be constructed in a different locality which no man of common prudence could accept on account of its utter unsuitability.

Your Memorialists pray that since the site already marked and selected in the Gautana is a Government property, the Deputy Commissioner be kindly directed

directed by the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja to allot it to Sheik Murtaza without any further delay and to permit the Memorialists to construct their Muszid on the site of Sheik Murtaza instruct accordance with the terms of Government Order.

Your Memorialists further beg to state that they are not inclined to go behind the settlement already reached on this behalf after a full consideration of all aspects in this case and to construct their Musjid in any locality other than the one that belongs to Sheik Murtaza which is the only suitable one available for the purpose in the whole village.

If for any reasons Government should find it necessary to retract their order the memorialists pray that they may be permitted to convert the old Ashurkana itself into Musjid as formerly proposed by them.

Bangalore,
D/2nd Feb.1927.

THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 4th February 1927

6A

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esquire., B.A., P.T.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
9 FEB 1927
MUZRAI

D 1170

To

Rev. Srinivasa [illegible], B.A., P.T.,
[illegible]

Mus 131-26-3

SIR,

[illegible] action [illegible]

Please
pointy with
file mg

AV
9/2

for [illegible]
(Absent from station)

With reference to your Memo.No.C.7[illegible] dated [illegible] October --

Re: the construction of [illegible] Tribal [illegible] village,

Thar Taluk, I have [illegible]

[illegible] this record [illegible] of [illegible] Mudi

[illegible] village, is [illegible] only one of them. [illegible]

[illegible] willing to take [illegible] village as [illegible]

[illegible] Collector [illegible]

Mudi [illegible] selected."

"Copy"

Yours

for Collector

BR

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section mur

File No. 135-26

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1566 Enc 3 Rec'd on 1.3

To The Divl. Comr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 19/2/27

Adverting to your letter No. C. 534 Dy 6th Feby. 1927 in the matter of the construction of a masjid at Thondalaraikkaliatti in the Kolar Taluk, I am directed to request you to obtain and send ~~up~~ a full report from the Dy. Comr. as to why ~~there is any objection~~ ~~the Hindus object~~ to the arrangement agreed to by ~~the Hindus~~ in 1922 and

(to state that the D.C's report should also give his views as to why he has found it necessary to suggest this alternative site)

List of enclosures.....

(There should be any objection)

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

whether ~~this~~ objection
is entitled to any consideration.
I am also requested that the Dy.
Comm. may also be ~~requested~~ asked
to arrange for a settlement
acceptable to both parties
(2) ~~The words are not~~ The Entire Vern: records
~~for ref to Sabr~~ Cond with the case may
be sent by preference
I have etc.

Dy Find Secy
15/2/27

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

are three

Dated Bangalore, 28th 29 November 1927.

From





P. G. D'Souza, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. D 688

Mur 135-26-5

To

30/11/27

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1566 Muz.135-26-4 dated the 19th February 1927, re the construction of a Mosque at Thondalnaikanahalli, in Kolar Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records received with the Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A_2.C.892/27-28 dated 29th October 1927, (Only enclosed) and to state that the Deputy Commissioner reports that the Hindus and the Mohammedans of the village have come to an amicable settlement setting aside all their differences. The Hindus have agreed to the construction of the Masjid on the site selected by the Panchayet i.e. on B and C. in the sketch dated 21-7-1927 enclosed in the file. The Mohammedans have subscribed to the condition viz. unrestricted passing to Hindu processions since the contending parties have come to an agreement, the Deputy Commissioner says that there can be no objection to permit the Mohamedans to build the Mosque on the site selected by the Panchayet and therefore requests sanction of Government to the present proposal.

In these circumstances, I request kind approval of Government to the above proposal of the Deputy Commissioner who will, to save future troubles be requested to have a stone tablet put up, inscribing thereon the year of construction and the free permission for the passing of Hindu processions with music. the condition regarding

A copy of the Deputy Commissioner's det
also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A-2 C.892/27-28 dated 29th October 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

Re: the construction of a Mosque at Thondalnaikanahally in Kolar Taluk.

"With reference to your Memo No.C.538/26-27 dated the 5th March 1927 calling for a report with records relating to the construction of a Mosque at Thondalnaikanahally, Kolar Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular records received ff from the Kolar - Sub Division Officer, with his No.R3-C.2182/26-27 dated the 13th -- August 1927 for information and to state as follows:--

2. It will be seen from the latest report of the Amildar that he has been successful in bringing about an amicable settlement in this matter which has been a source of friction for over 30 years, between the two communities, who otherwise were living like brothers in other respects. He very tactfully appointed a special Panchayet, the -- members of which commanded confidence of both the communities. Mr. Venkatavarada Iyengar of Holur was selected the Chairman. The --- Panchayet was invested with powers duly recognised on both sides by the execution of agreements on stamped papers.

3. Having enquired into every aspect of the question the Panchayet decided to allow the construction of the Masjid in the place where the Asherkhana is now situated (vide sketch enclosed in the file). In pursuance of their decision the Asherkhana is to be divided into two portions A and B (as shown in the sketch on page 10 of Exhibit IV); the western portion A measuring 16¾' by 25½' being given away for forming a road and the road at C measuring 25' by 25½' given to the Mohamadans for the construction of the Masjid on B & C. As the road at C will be used up for the mosque, the Mohammadans have ---- consented to construct a drain to lead off rain water that was --- priginally passing through the road at C. In lieu of the construction of the said drain at the cost of Mohamadans the Hindus have -- agreed to allow the former a small extent i.e. 2¾' out of the western portion B, for the Masjid and for the construction of the 'Mehrob'. Both the communities have agreed to the construction of the Masjid - under the terms of the decision explained supra and have also signed an agreement to abide by the undermentioned conditions. (vide page 13 and 14 of the Panchayet record).

i. The Mohamadans have agreed to the passing of Hindu
with music on the roads round the Mosque inspite of the wicket -
gates on the North and South as shown in the sketch, on jatra, --
marriage, and other festive occasions, and that they have no ---
objection to the Hindus going with Baja Bajantri to the Karaga --
temple situated to the North of the Mohamadan houses (vide sketch
on page 10), and to the animal slaughter by the Hindus at the --
Gorikambha situated to the south of the existing Asherkhana.

ii. The Mohamadans have agreed not to open on any other side of the mosque doors and windows in addition to the main eastern gate and the wicket gates on the North and South as shown in the --- sketch on page 10.

iii. The Hindus have agreed to the construction of the Masjid on the site selected by the Panchayet i.e., on B and C in the sketch, as the Mohamadans have agreed to the conditions mentioned in i and ii supra.

iv. The Hindus have agreed not to object to the passing of Mohamadans on the roads in front of the Hindu houses, on festive occasions.

v. The Hindus have no objection to the customary religious observances of the Mohamadans in their mosque.

Vi. Both have also subscribed to the condition that any violation of the terms agreed upon by them may be visited with any merited --- penality.

4. In these circumstances there can be no objection to permit the Mohamadans to build the mosque on the site selected by the Panchayet and agreed to by both the communities. Before issuing permission ø the order of Government passed in their No.4056/Muz.392-722 dated the 26th July 1922 requires modification in the light of the recent agreement of the contending parties. I request therefore that you will be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to the present proposal. After the masjid is constructed, if it is considered necessary to put - up a stone slab inscribing therein the year of construction and the -- free permission for the play of music as contracted by both the ---- communities, suitable orders will be issued in the matter as soon as -- sanction of Government is received.

5. In this connection I should like to mention that Mr.C. Rama Rao Amildar of Kolar Taluk deserves credit for having brought about an --- amicable settlement of a question which has been pending for nearly 30

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

ECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No. 135-26

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue.... 10/1/28

Signed by. M.V.B Ramaiya

Read—

Govt Pro. no. 405-6/ Muz 392-17-22 dy 26.7.22

Sanctioning the constructing a new masjid in place of the old Ashurkhana at Shendalneikanahalli in the Kolar Taluk

Order Thereon No.

Petition no. 293 from Jahangir Khan and three Mussalman Residents complaining that ~~as laid down in~~ this

May this be

i Printed ?

i treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

v translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

The Subject noted Head above, ~~the site selected has~~ has not been given ~~to them~~ for the Construction of a Masjid building ~~at the place~~.

I Letter no. C. 5324 dt 29th Nov. 1927 from the Mag. Amr. Reporting that a Special Panchayat has appointed and the Hindus have agreed to the Construction of the Masjid on the site selected by the Panchayat ~~which~~, the Mahomedans have no objection to the Hindu Processions with Baja Bajantri passing in front of the Mosque and requesting approval

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00- 21-12-27

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

~~thereto~~ of Land
to the construction of the mosque.

Condn 1085

Enc 3 files

Sanctioned, subject to the usual conditions of a tablet being put up in a conspicuous place inscribing thereon the year of construction and the condition ~~regarding the passing of~~ that Hindus processions with music pass in front of the mosque

Dft for secy
6.1.28
for Secy Govt
Govt's records.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Proceedings No. 405-6 Muz. 392-17-22, dated 26th July 1922, sanctioning the construction of a new masjid in place of the old Ashurkhana at Thondalnaiknahalli in the Kolar Taluk.

2. Petition No. 293 from Jahangir Khan and other Mussalman residents, complaining that the site ordered to be given to them by the Government Order read above, has not been given for the construction of a Masjid building.

3. Letter No. C. 534, dated 29th November 1927, from the Muzrai Commissioner reporting, with reference to the above, that a Special Panchayet was appointed and that the Hindus have agreed to the construction of ~~xxxx~~ the masjid on the site selected by the Panchayet and that the mahomedans have no objection to Hindu processions with Baja Bajantri passing in front of the mosque, and requesting approval of Government to the construction of the Mosque.

Order No. 1085/Muz 135-26-6/Enc 3 Files

Bangalore, dated the 10th January 1928.

Sanctioned, subject to the usual conditions of a tablet being put up in a conspicuous place inscribing thereon the year of construction and the condition that Hindu processions with Music may pass in front of the Mosque.

9-1-28

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.